


Highly Enantioselective Hydrophosphonylation of Aldehydes Catalyzed by Tridentate Schiff Base Aluminum(III) Complexes**

Xin Zhou, Xiaohua Liu, Xu Yang, Deju Shang, Junguo Xin, and Xiaoming Feng*

Chiral α -hydroxy phosphonates and phosphonic acids are widely applied in the pharmaceutical industry owing to their biological activity,^[1] and intense efforts have been made on the asymmetric hydrophosphonylation of aldehydes. To our knowledge, the first highly enantioselective hydrophosphonylation was realized by the Shibasaki group using hetero-bimetallic complexes ($[\text{LaLi}_3(\text{binaphthoxide})_3]$, $[\text{AlLi}(\text{binaphthoxide})_2]$) as tailor-made catalysts.^[2] Recently, Kee and co-workers studied the catalytic performance of chiral $[\text{Al}(\text{salcyen})]$ and $[\text{Al}(\text{salcyan})]$ complexes.^[3] Subsequently, the Katsuki group developed an interesting and highly efficient C_1 -symmetric $[\text{Al}(\text{salalen})]$ complex for the reaction.^[4] An aluminum–binaphthyl Schiff base complex was also found to be effective for the reaction.^[5] Although a number of works have appeared on the synthesis of chiral α -hydroxy phosphonates,^[6] searching for a catalyst system that could achieve high reactivity and enantioselectivity is still challenging and interesting. As excellent chiral scaffolds, tridentate Schiff base metal complexes, especially those of vanadium, chromium, and iron, have successfully been applied in many asymmetric reactions.^[7] Herein, we present a highly efficient asymmetric hydrophosphonylation of various aldehydes catalyzed by chiral tridentate Schiff base Al^{III} complexes.

Initially, tridentate Schiff base **1a** (Scheme 1), derived from L-valinol and 3,5-di-tert-butylsalicylaldehyde, reacted in situ with various aluminum(III) reagents to form complexes that catalyze the asymmetric hydrophosphonylation (Table 1, entries 1–3). The counterions of **1a**– Al^{III} complexes showed a decisive effect on the enantioselectivity.^[3a,8] Complex **1a**– Et_2AlCl catalyzed the reaction smoothly, giving the desired



Scheme 1. Chiral ligands used in the study. Ad = adamantyl.

Table 1: Asymmetric hydrophosphonylation of benzaldehyde with diethyl phosphite under the indicated conditions.

Entry ^[a]	Al source	L	Solvent	T [°C]	Yield [%] ^[b]	ee [%] ^[c]
1	Et_2AlCl	1a	THF	0	88	86 (S)
2	$\text{Al}(\text{O}i\text{Pr})_3$	1a	THF	0	50	30 (R)
3	AlEt_3	1a	THF	0	60	0
4	Et_2AlCl	1b	THF	0	68	60 (S)
5	Et_2AlCl	1c	THF	0	71	73 (S)
6	Et_2AlCl	1d	THF	0	48	43 (S)
7	Et_2AlCl	1e	THF	0	81	86 (S)
8	Et_2AlCl	2	THF	0	30	35 (R)
9	Et_2AlCl	1a	CH_2Cl_2	0	59	92 (S)
10	Et_2AlCl	1e	CH_2Cl_2	0	53	94 (S)
11	Et_2AlCl	1e	CH_2Cl_2	–20	36	97 (S)
12 ^[d]	Et_2AlCl	1e	$\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{THF}$	–15	80	96 (S) ^[e]

[a] All reactions were carried out under nitrogen: diethyl phosphite (0.3 mmol), benzaldehyde (0.25 mmol) in 1.0 mL solvent. [b] Yield of isolated product. [c] Determined by HPLC analysis. [d] The catalyst was prepared in situ in 0.4 mL CH_2Cl_2 . [e] The R product with the same ee value could be obtained by changing the absolute configuration of **1e** to R.

products with 86% ee, while only a racemate product was obtained in the presence of **1a**– AlEt_3 (Table 1, entry 1 vs. 3). It was interesting that catalyst **1a**– $\text{Al}(\text{O}i\text{Pr})_3$ showed an opposite absolute sense of stereoselection (Table 1, entry 2). The disparate results were probably caused by the different steric and electronic properties of the counterions (Cl, Et, and $\text{O}i\text{Pr}$), which each exhibited a different action in the catalytic cycle.^[9b,d]

To further improve the enantioselectivity of the reaction,^[9a] the steric and electronic effects based on **1** were examined (Table 1, entries 1 and 4–8). As shown in Table 1, electron-donating groups at the position *para* to the OH

[*] X. Zhou, Dr. X. H. Liu, X. Yang, D. J. Shang, J. G. Xin, Prof. Dr. X. M. Feng
 Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry & Technology (Sichuan University)
 Ministry of Education
 College of Chemistry
 Sichuan University
 Chengdu 610064 (China)
 Fax: (+86) 28-8541-8249
 E-mail: xmfeng@scu.edu.cn
 Prof. Dr. X. M. Feng
 State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy
 Sichuan University
 Chengdu 610041 (China)

[**] We appreciate the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 20732003) for financial support. We also thank Sichuan University Analytical & Testing Center for NMR analysis and the State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy for HRMS analysis.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://www.angewandte.org> or from the author.



group increased the enantioselectivities and the reactivities (Table 1, entries 4–6). Furthermore, ligands with bulkier *ortho* groups, such as *tert*-butyl and adamantyl, could achieve higher enantioselectivities (up to 86% *ee*; Table 1, entry 5 vs. entries 1 and 7). Although ligands **1e** and **2** have the same absolute backbone configuration, the hydrogenated Schiff base **2** afforded an opposite configuration of product with a poor *ee* value (Table 1, entry 8 vs. 7).

A survey of various solvents revealed that THF provided the product with good reactivity and enantioselectivity (Table 1, entry 1).^[9e] However, CH₂Cl₂ showed a strong solvent effect in which a higher *ee* value was obtained (Table 1, entry 9). Furthermore, discrimination on the stereo-inducing capability between **1a**–Et₂AlCl and **1e**–Et₂AlCl was also exhibited by using CH₂Cl₂ as the solvent (Table 1, entry 9 vs. 10), and **1e**–Et₂AlCl showed better enantioselectivity (up to 94% *ee*). When the temperature of the reaction was lowered, the enantioselectivity further improved, but the yield was rather poor (Table 1, entry 11 vs. 10). Fortunately, using THF as a cosolvent dramatically improved the reactivity while the enantioselectivity was maintained (96% *ee*; Table 1, entry 12 vs. 11).

Under the optimized conditions, a series of aldehydes were examined, and the corresponding products were given in high yields with good to excellent enantioselectivities (Table 2). It is interesting to note that not only the electronic properties of the substitution at the aromatic ring, but also the steric hindrance, had no obvious effect on the enantioselectivity (Table 2, entries 1–18). While the condensed-ring aldehydes (1-naphthaldehyde and 2-naphthaldehyde) reacted smoothly with diethyl phosphite, giving the products with 96% *ee* (Table 2, entries 19 and 20),^[6g] the α,β -unsaturated aldehyde (cinnamaldehyde) showed a slightly reduced reactivity and enantioselectivity (Table 2, entry 21). Though both nonbranched and branched aliphatic aldehydes gave high enantioselectivities, aldehydes with more steric hindrance generally gave the corresponding α -hydroxy phosphonates with higher *ee* values (up to 91% *ee*; Table 2, entries 24–27). It is noteworthy that excellent enantioselectivities have been achieved for the first time in the asymmetric hydrophosphonylation of heteroaromatic aldehydes (up to 94% *ee*; Table 2, entries 22 and 23).^[6f]

To gain insight into the origin of the enantioselectivity, the relationship between the enantiomeric excess of Schiff base **1e** and the product **5a** was tested (Figure 1).^[10] The results indicate a strong positive nonlinear effect, which implies that the reaction occurs in the presence of a polymeric aluminum active species. Direct evidence of the dimeric species was observed by HRMS analyses and deduced from experimental observations.^[9b,c] The strong positive nonlinear effect makes it possible that the high enantioselectivity of the reaction can be achieved using a moderate *ee* value of **1e**. HRMS analyses show that the two Cl[–] ions of dimeric **1e**–Et₂AlCl are substituted by phosphite ions,^[9b] which suggests that the counterion Cl[–] does not function as the bridge of dimeric **1e**–Et₂AlCl. On the basis of previous reports,^[11] we propose that the oxygen atom of the flexible alcohol group acts as a bridge in the dimeric aluminum species, while it seems impossible that the oxygen atom of the phenol group could act as a bridge

Table 2: Substrate scope for the catalytic asymmetric hydrophosphonylation of aldehydes.

$\text{R}-\text{CHO} + \text{H}-\text{P}(\text{OEt})_2 \xrightarrow[\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{THF}, -15^\circ\text{C}, 60\text{ h}]{10\text{ mol}\% \text{ Et}_2\text{AlCl}, 10\text{ mol}\% \text{ 1e}} \text{R}-\text{CH}(\text{OH})-\text{P}(\text{OEt})_2$				
Entry ^[a]	R	Product	Yield [%] ^[b]	<i>ee</i> [%] ^[c]
1	Ph	5a	96	95 (S) ^[d]
2	2-MeC ₆ H ₄	5b	93	96
3	3-MeC ₆ H ₄	5c	95	96
4	4-MeC ₆ H ₄	5d	89	97 (S) ^[d]
5	2-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	5e	79	94
6	3-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	5f	88	94
7	4-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	5g	81	92
8	2-MeOC ₆ H ₄	5h	87	95
9	3-MeOC ₆ H ₄	5i	87	93
10	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	5j	94	97 (S) ^[d]
11	4-FC ₆ H ₄	5k	87	97
12	2-ClC ₆ H ₄	5l	85	95
13	3-ClC ₆ H ₄	5m	86	92
14	4-ClC ₆ H ₄	5n	82	95 (S) ^[d]
15	4-BrC ₆ H ₄	5o	83	97
16	4-CNC ₆ H ₄	5p	88	89
17	4-PhC ₆ H ₄	5q	83	95
18		5r	82	97
19	1-naphthyl	5s	87	96
20	2-naphthyl	5t	89	96
21		5u	73	85
22	2-thienyl	5v	90	93 (S) ^[d]
23	2-furyl	5w	89	94 (S) ^[d]
24	PhCH ₂ CH ₂	5x	93	87
25	<i>n</i> Bu	5y	92	85 ^[e]
26	<i>i</i> Pr	5z	87	90 ^[e]
27	<i>t</i> Bu	5aa	73	91 ^[e]

[a] All reactions were carried out under nitrogen: aldehyde (0.25 mmol), diethyl phosphite (0.3 mmol), a mixture of 0.4 mL CH₂Cl₂ and 0.6 mL THF; the catalyst was prepared in situ in 0.4 mL CH₂Cl₂. [b] Yield of isolated product. [c] Determined by HPLC analysis. [d] The absolute configurations were determined by comparison with literature data.^[6f,g] [e] Determined by HPLC analysis after conversion of the product into the corresponding benzoate.




Figure 1. Nonlinear effect in the enantioselective hydrophosphonylation of aldehyde **3a**.

owing to the sterically demanding adamantyl group. Though the exact transition state for the reaction is unclear, it is believed that dimeric **1e**–Et₂AlCl possesses an excellent chiral environment which tolerates a wide range of substrates.

In conclusion, we have developed a new chiral tridentate Schiff base Al^{III} complex for the asymmetric hydrophosphonylation of aldehydes. Significant progress has been obtained with an extremely broad substrate scope, giving chiral α -hydroxy phosphonates in good yields with excellent enantioselectivities (up to 97% *ee*). Further studies of the reaction mechanism and the application of this catalyst to other reactions are underway.

Experimental Section

Typical experimental procedure: Et₂AlCl (0.025 mmol) was added to a solution of **1e** (0.025 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.4 mL) under nitrogen. After stirring at 30 °C for 30 min, the aldehyde (0.25 mmol) in THF (0.3 mL) was added and stirred for a further 30 min. The diethyl phosphite (0.3 mmol) in THF (0.3 mL) was added at –15 °C, and the reaction was stirred for 60 h. The pure α -hydroxy phosphonate was afforded by column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 1:1→9:1)

Received: September 6, 2007

Published online: November 14, 2007

Keywords: aluminum · asymmetric catalysis · hydrophosphonylation · hydroxy phosphonates · Schiff bases

- [1] a) D. V. Patel, K. Rielly-Gauvin, D. E. Ryono, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, 31, 5587; b) D. V. Patel, K. Rielly-Gauvin, D. E. Ryono, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, 31, 5591; c) J. A. Sikorski, M. J. Miller, D. S. Braccolino, D. J. Cleary, S. G. Corey, J. L. Font, K. J. Gruys, C. Y. Han, K. C. Lin, P. D. Pansegrau, J. E. Ream, D. Schnur, A. Shah, M. C. Walker, *Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.* **1993**, 76, 375; d) B. Stowasser, K. H. Budt, J. Li, A. Peyman, D. Ruppert, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, 33, 6625.
- [2] a) T. Arai, M. Bougauchi, H. Sasai, M. Shibasaki, *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, 61, 2926; b) H. Sasai, M. Bougauchi, T. Arai, M. Shibasaki, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, 38, 2717.
- [3] a) J. P. Duxbury, A. Cawley, M. Thornton-Pett, L. Wantz, J. N. D. Warne, R. Greatrex, D. Brown, T. P. Kee, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, 40, 4403; b) C. V. Ward, M. Jiang, T. P. Kee, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, 41, 6181; c) J. P. Duxbury, J. N. D. Warne, R. Mushtaq, C. Ward, M. Thornton-Pett, M. Jiang, R. Greatrex, T. P. Kee, *Organometallics* **2000**, 19, 4445; d) T. D. Nixon, S. Dalgarno, C. V. Ward, M. Jiang, M. A. Halcrow, C. Kilner, M. Thornton-Pett, T. P. Kee, *C. R. Chim.* **2004**, 7, 809.
- [4] a) B. Saito, T. Katsuki, *Angew. Chem.* **2005**, 117, 4676; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, 44, 4600; b) B. Saito, H. Egami, T. Katsuki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, 129, 1978.
- [5] K. Ito, H. Tsutsumi, M. Setoyama, B. Saito, T. Katsuki, *Synlett* **2007**, 1960.
- [6] a) H. Wynberg, A. A. Smaardijk, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983**, 24, 5899; b) A. A. Smaardijk, S. Noorda, H. Wynberg, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, 26, 493; c) T. Yokomatsu, T. Yamagishi, S. Shibuya, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1993**, 4, 1779; d) T. Yokomatsu, T. Yamagishi, S. Shibuya, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1993**, 4, 1783; e) N. P. Rath, C. D. Spilling, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, 35, 227; f) T. Yokomatsu, T. Yamagishi, S. Shibuya, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1* **1997**, 1527; g) C. Qian, T. Huang, C. Zhu, J. Sun, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1* **1998**, 2097.
- [7] a) M. Hayashi, T. Inoue, N. Oguni, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1994**, 341; b) C. Bolm, F. Bienewald, *Angew. Chem.* **1995**, 107, 2883; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1995**, 34, 2640; c) R. T. Ruck, E. N. Jacobsen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, 124, 2882; d) R. T. Ruck, E. N. Jacobsen, *Angew. Chem.* **2003**, 115, 4919; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2003**, 42, 4771; e) J. Legros, C. Bolm, *Angew. Chem.* **2003**, 115, 5645; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2003**, 42, 5487; f) Q. Fan, L. Lin, J. Liu, Y. Huang, X. Feng, G. Zhang, *Org. Lett.* **2004**, 6, 2185; g) A. Blanc, F. D. Toste, *Angew. Chem.* **2006**, 118, 2150; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, 45, 2096; h) L. Lin, Q. Fan, B. Qin, X. Feng, *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, 71, 4141, and references therein.
- [8] Some selected reports on counterions: a) C. Bonaccorsi, A. Mezzetti, *Organometallics* **2005**, 24, 4953; b) J. M. Fraile, J. I. García, M. J. Gil, V. Martínez-Merino, J. A. Mayoral, L. Salvatella, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2004**, 10, 758; c) D. A. Evans, S. J. Miller, T. Lectka, P. von Matt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, 121, 7559; d) N. J. Patmore, C. Hague, J. H. Cotgreave, M. F. Mahon, C. G. Frost, A. S. Weller, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2002**, 8, 2088.
- [9] a) Other phosphites were also examined; see the Supporting Information. b) The HRMS analyses of the reaction solution showed a high level at 1119.6494, which was relative to the diphosphite-substituted dimeric **1e**-Et₂AlCl; ESI-HRMS calcd for [C₆₀H₉₄Al₂N₂O₁₀P₂ + H]⁺: 1119.6093. c) Using enantiopure **1e** as the chiral ligand, the catalyst **1e**-Et₂AlCl, prepared in situ in CH₂Cl₂, was soluble; using chiral ligand **1e** which was not enantiomerically pure, a large amount of deposition was observed when preparing the catalyst in situ in CH₂Cl₂; d) ¹³C NMR spectra (100 MHz, CDCl₃) showed signals of Et (δ = 16.4 and 9.8 ppm) and OiPr (77.9, 27.9, and 27.7 ppm) on the catalysts that were unchanged both during the catalysts generation in the absence of diethyl phosphite and during the reaction period, which indicated that the counterions Et and OiPr had not been substituted by phosphite. e) Other solvents such as toluene, CHCl₃, and Et₂O were examined; see the Supporting Information.
- [10] For a review of nonlinear effects: C. Girard, H. B. Kagan, *Angew. Chem.* **1998**, 110, 3088; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1998**, 37, 2922.
- [11] Studies related to dimeric tridentate Schiff base metal complexes: a) A. Syamal, K. S. Kale, *J. Indian Chem. Soc.* **1981**, 58, 186; b) J. A. Bertrand, J. A. Kelley, J. L. Breece, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1970**, 4, 247; c) G. Desimoni, G. Faita, G. Mellerio, P. P. Righetti, c. Zanelli, *Gazzetta. Chim. Italiana* **1992**, 122, 269; d) see reference [7c].